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## STATE OF THE ART

| Requirements/ Existing | Exact match | (Label-constrained) regular paths between any two nodes | Connecting tree search |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPARQL | $\checkmark$ (US entrepreneurs, French politicians, French entrepreneurs) | $\checkmark$ (check but not return) \$x-knows*-> \$y | $x$ |
| Cypher/GQL | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ \$x-[*]-\$y | $x$ |
| Keyword Search Algorithms (BANKS, BLINKS, DBXplorer, etc.) | $x$ | $X$ | $\checkmark$ (based on keywords alone, various pruning strategies) |
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Keyword Search has high complexity (Group Steiner Tree - NP-Hard)

## REQUIREMENTS



## (P1) A query language supporting such queries

## REQUIREMENTS


(Pl) A query language supporting such queries
(P2) General tree search

- Undirected search.
- Find all answers (under space and time budget).
- Independent of the cost function.


## REQUIREMENTS


(P1) A query language supporting such queries
(P2) General tree search

- Undirected search.
- Find all answers (under space and time budget).
- Independent of the cost function.
(P3) Efficient execution algorithms


## SUPPORT FOR EXTENDED QUERIES (EQ)

Given a graph and set of node/edge properties, GPML supports:
$>$ Path Patterns (PPs) of the form:
MATCH
(v: Alice WHERE v.type=entrepreneur)
-[e: citizenOf] $\rightarrow$
(w WHERE w.type=country)
> PPs can contain Regular Paths:
MATCH $p=(x)-[y$ : founded $] \rightarrow *(z)$
$>$ Graph Patterns (GPs)
Conjunction of PPs
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## Our extension: Connecting Tree Patterns (CTPs)

- $n$ input variables, $l$ output variable ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \underline{\mathrm{w}}$ )


## EXAMPLE QUERY

## How are the US entrepreneurs, French entrepreneurs and French politicians related?

## MATCH

(x WHERE x.type = entrepreneur) - [a: citizenOf $] \rightarrow$ (b: USA),
(y WHERE y.type= entrepreneur) -[c: citizenOf] $\rightarrow$ (d: France),
(z WHERE z.type = politician) $-[\mathrm{e}:$ citizenOf $] \rightarrow$ (f: France),
(X, y, z, w
RETURN w;


## QUERY SEMANTICS (GP)

## MATCH

(x WHERE x.type = entrepreneur) - [a: citizenOf $] \rightarrow$ (b: USA),
(y WHERE y.type $=$ entrepreneur) $-[$ c: citizenOf $] \rightarrow(d$ : France $)$, ( z WHERE z.type $=$ politician ) $-[\mathrm{e}$ : citizenOf $] \rightarrow$ (f: France),
( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \underline{\mathrm{w}}$ )
RETURN w;

| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bob | Alice | Elon |
| Carole | Doug | Falcon |
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## QUERY SEMANTICS (CTP)

## MATCH

(x WHERE x.type = entrepreneur) - [a: citizenOf $] \rightarrow$ (b: USA),
(y WHERE y.type= entrepreneur) - [c: citizenOf $] \rightarrow$ (d: France), (z WHERE z.type = politician) -[e: citizenOf] $\rightarrow$ (f: France), ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \underline{\mathrm{w}}$ ) RETURN w;
 more
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- MERGE (t, t')



## GAM ALGORITHM

## Further:



- Property: Complete
- Minimal answers only.
- Builds all rooted trees. $X$
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## OPTIMIILHTION 1: EDGE SET PRUNING (ESP)

- Keep only the first rooted tree built for the same set of edges.
- Property: Complete for 2-input CTPs. $\checkmark$
- Incomplete for a CTP of arity $>=3$. $X$
- Example:
- Connection between Alice, Bob and Falcon



## OPTIMIZATION 2: MERCE-ORIENTED ESP (MOESP)

- Build MoESP trees from new tree $t$ as follows:
- $t^{\prime}$ has the same edges (and nodes) as $t$, but
- $\mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ is rooted in a seed r , distinct from the root of $t$



## OPTIMIZATION 2: MERCE-ORIENTED ESP (MOESP)

- Build MoESP trees from new tree $t$ as follows:
- $t^{\prime}$ has the same edges (and nodes) as $t$, but
${ }^{-} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ is rooted in a seed r , distinct from the root of $t$
- Property: Finds all path results. $\checkmark$
- May still fail to find some results. $X$



## OPTIMIZATION 3: LIMITED ESP (LESP)

- Signature (s) for each node $n$ :
- \#Seed-sets having paths from
seeds to $n$.
- Limit ESP when the root:
- Has $\mathbf{s}>=3$.
- Has 3 or more adjacent edges.


## ADDING IT ALL UP - MOLESP

- Variant with ESP, MoESP (inject more trees) and LESP (limit pruning on some Merges).
- Property: Complete for CTPs of arity $3 . \checkmark$
- Still incomplete for some CTPs of arity >= 4.
- Property: Identified class of solutions guaranteed to be found for CTPs of any arity (refer to the paper).
- The frequent cases covered. $\sqrt{ }$


## SChLABILITY ON BARABASI ALBERT GRAPHS <br> Timeout 25 minutes. <br> 
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## SCALABILITY ON BARABASI ALBERT GRAPHS <br> MoLESP is 2 x faster than GAM, slightly slower than MoESP. <br> 
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## RECALI ON BARABASI AIBERT GRAPHS

MoLESP has a perfect recall even for $\mathrm{m}=4$.


## COMPARISON WITH KEYWORD SEARCH
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## COMPARISON WITH KEYWORD SEARCH

 SOTA: QGSTP
## Dataset: DBPedia

 \#Queries: 312MoLESP is 4 x faster in finding result.

Kharlamov et al. Efficient Computation of Semantically Cohesive Subgraphs for Keyword-
Based Knowledge Graph Exploration. In WWW 2021.


## COMPRRISON WITH GQ ENGINES

## Timeout 15 minutes

| $\rightarrow$ | MoLESP (any path, return) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Postgres (any path, return) |
|  | UNI JEDI (labelled path, return) |
|  | UNI Virtuoso (labelled path, check-only) |
|  | UNI Virtuoso (any path, check-only) |
| -- | Neo4j (any path, return) |
| --- | UNI MoLESP (any path, return) |



## COMPARISON WITH GQ ENGINES

Timeout 15 minutes

MoLESP is the only feasible path returning algorithm.
MoLESP (any path,
return)

[JEDI] C. Aebeloe, G. Montoya, V. Setty, and K. Hose, "Discovering diversified paths in knowledge bases," VLDB 2018.
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[JEDI] C. Aebeloe, G. Montoya, V. Setty, and K. Hose, "Discovering diversified paths in knowledge bases," VLDB 2018.

## COMPARISON WITH GQ ENGINES PATH STITCHING

Timeout 15 minutes

MoLESP scales well with the graph size and the cost of adding CTP is minimal.MoLESP (any path, return)
Postgres (any path, return)
UNI JEDI (labelled path, return)

UNI Virtuoso
-•- (labelled path, check-only)
UNI Virtuoso (any path, check-only) Neo4j (any path, return)

UNI MoLESP (any path, return)

[JEDI] C. Aebeloe, G. Montoya, V. Setty, and K. Hose, "Discovering diversified paths in knowledge bases," VLDB 2018.

## CONCLUSION

-Extension to GPML by using CTPs.

- Supports asking for connecting trees.
-MoLESP: Efficient search algorithm for the connecting trees.
-Future work:
- Smart execution strategies for jointly optimizing GPs and CTPs.
- Optimized execution of multiple CTPs.


## THANK YOU

Project Web site: https://team.inria.fr/cedar/connectionlens/

Code and datasets for this paper: https://gitlab.inria.fr/cedar/extended-graph-querying

