

Social Network Benchmark Task Force

4th TUC Meeting Amsterdam - April 3, 2014

Task Force

- University
 - VUA The Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
 - UPC Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
 - TUM Technische Universtität München
- I<mark>ndust</mark>ry
 - <mark>RDF</mark>
 - OpenLink Software (Virtuoso)
 - Graph Databases
 - Neo Technology (Neo4J)
 - Sparsity Technology (DEX)



Social Network Analysis

- Intuitive: everybody knows what a SN is
 - Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, ...
- SNs can be easily represented as a graph
 - Entities are the nodes (Person, Group, Tag, Post, ...)
 - Relationships are the edges (Friend, Likes, Follows, ...)
- Different scales: from small to very large SNs
 - Up to billions of nodes and edges
- Multiple query needs:
 - interactive, analytical, transactional
- Multiple types of uses:
 - marketing, recommendation, social interactions, fraud detection, ...



Audience

- For end users facing graph processing tasks
 - recognizable scenario to compare merits of different products and technologies
- For vendors of graph database technology

 checklist of features and performance characteristics
- For researchers, both industrial and academic
 - challenges in multiple choke-point areas such as graph query optimization and (distributed) graph analysis



Workloads

- Interactive: tests a system's throughput with relatively simple queries with concurrent updates
 - Show all photos posted by my friends that I was tagged in
- Business Intelligence: consists of complex structured queries for analyzing online behavior
 - Who got the most replies during 1st month of participation?
- Graph Analytics: tests the functionality and scalability on most of the data as a single operation
 - PageRank



Systems

- Graph database systems
 - e.g. Neo4j, InfiniteGraph, DEX, Titan
- Graph programming frameworks
 - e.g. Giraph, Signal/Collect, Graphlab, Green Marl, Grappa
- RDF database systems
 - e.g. OWLIM, Virtuoso, BigData, Jena TDB, Stardog, Allegrograph
- Relational database systems
 - e.g. Postgres, MySQL, Oracle, DB2, SQLServer, Virtuoso, MonetDB, Vectorwise, Vertica
- noSQL database systems
 - e.g. HBase, REDIS, MongoDB, CouchDB, or even MapReduce systems like Hadoop and Pig



Workloads by system

System	Interactive	Business Intelligence	Graph Analytics
Graph databases	Yes	Yes	Maybe
Graph programming frameworks	-	Yes	Yes
RDF databases	Yes	Yes	-
Relational databases	Yes	Yes	Maybe, by keeping state in temporary tables, and using the functional features of PL-SQL
NoSQL Key-value	Maybe	Maybe	-
NoSQL MapReduce	-	Maybe	Yes



Expected Results

- Four main elements:
 - data schema: defines the structure of the data
 - workloads: defines the set of operations to perform
 - test driver: to execute the workloads
 - *performance metrics*: used to measure (quantitatively) the performance of the systems
 - execution rules: defined to assure that the results from different executions of the benchmark are valid and comparable
- Software as Open Source (GitHub)
 - data generator, query drivers, validation tools, ...



Data Schema

- Structure of the Social Network / Graph:
 - Entities (nodes)
 - Relationships between entities (edges)
 - Attributes for entities and relationships
- Some of the relationships represent dimensions (for BI analysis)



Data Schema



Data Generation Process

- Produce synthetic data that mimics the characteristics of real SN data
- Graph model:
 - correlated property (directed labeled) graph
- Based on SIB–S3G2 Social Graph Generator
 - property dictionaries extracted from DBPedia with specific ranking and probability density functions
 - *subgraph generation*: new nodes and new edges in one single pass
 - MapReduce for scalability



DBGen improvements

- Schema updates
 - hasTag & likes relationships
 - knows creationDate attribute
- Deterministic
- Facebook-like knows distribution
- New distributions to rebalance the size of the user activity w.r.t. the graph size
 - e.g. number and size of posts/comments
- Quantization of population (categories of country populations)
- Compressed output and serialization enhacements



Interactive Workload

- Tests system throughput with relatively simple queries and concurrent updates
- Current set: 12 read-only queries + 1 proposal of shortest path
- F<mark>or each</mark> query:
 - Name and detailed description in plain English
 - List of input parameters
 - Expected result: content and format
 - Textual functional description
 - Relevance:
 - textual description (plain English) of the reasoning for including this query in the workload
 - discussion about the technical challenges (Choke Points) targeted
 - Validation parameters and validation results
 - SPARQL and SQL examples



Example: Q3

Name: Friends within 2 hops that have been in two countries

Description:

Find Friends and Friends of Friends of the user A that have made a post in the foreign countries X and Y within a specified period. We count only posts that are made in the country that is different from the country of a friend. The result should be sorted descending by total number of posts, and then by person URI. Top 20 should be shown. The user A (as friend of his friend) should not be in the result

Parameter:

- Person
- CountryX
- CountryY
- startDate the beginning of the requested period
- Duration requested period in days

Result:

- Person.id, Person.firstname, Person.lastName
- Number of post of each country and the sum of all posts

Relevance:

- Choke Points: CP3.3
- If one country is large but anticorrelated with the country of self then processing this before a smaller but positively correlated country can be beneficial



Interactive: Choke Point Coverage

Group	Choke Point	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q 6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12
Aggregation Performance	1.2		+							+			
	1.6									+			
	1.7	+											
Join Performance	2.3	+											
	2.4		+					+					
	2.6					+		+	+		+		
	2.7		+		+	+		+		+	+	+	
Data Access Locality	3.3			+									
	3.5		+						+	+			
Expression Calculation	4.2a									+			
Correlated Subqueries	5.1									+			
	5.3									+			
Parallelism and Concurrency	6.3									+			
RDF and Graph Specifics	7.1	+								+			
	7.2						+						+
	7.3												+



Interactive Workload Improvements

- 12 queries
 - tested in SPARQL and SQL
 - validation parameters
- Update streams
 - analysis and definition of the update events
- Substitution parameters
 - Mining data
 - Query parameters based on distributions and correlations
- Query mixes
- Test driver
- First draft of execution rules



Scale Factors

- DBGen parameters:
 - fixed by default
 - distributions
 - quantizations
 - 3 years of activity
 - variable parameter: number of users
- Validation scale factor: 100K users
 - 53M nodes, 284M edges, 384M attribute values
 - more than 720M triples
 - 12GB data



Future Work

- First release of the Interactive workload
 - End April 2014
 - DBGEN, QGEN and test driver
 - Validation, execution and auditing rules
- Second draft of BI queries
 - analysis of new requirements to schema and data
- First draft of analytical workload



Thank you!

